



Hearing Action Points

**Application for Development Consent for
Morecambe Offshore Wind Generation Assets**

(Case Ref: EN010121)

**Actions arising from Issue Specific Hearing 1 -
Project Definition and Draft Development Consent
Order**

AP No.	Action	Action By:	Response Due By:
1.	To provide Gantt charts that demonstrate the achievement of construction/ implementation of the DCO within the 7-year period sought and anticipated operation of the Proposed Development by 2030. These should include best, most-likely and worst-case scenarios taking into account the need to secure Marine Licences and separate consent and construction of the Transmission Assets project.	Applicant	D1
2.	To submit information to support the position that the design life of foundations is only around 35 years which would therefore limit the overall life of the Proposed Development.	Applicant	D1
3.	Revision to the dDCO to secure a commitment that only one size/ type of WTG would be installed and not a mixture of larger and smaller turbines.	Applicant	D2
4.	To provide a note and commentary on the <i>R (Parkes) v Secretary of State for the Home Department</i> [2024] EWHC 1253 (Admin) judgement in respect of the definition of "land" and whether this has implications such as for the need for a Book of Reference in this case.	Applicant	D1 if possible; alternatively, at D2 with next iteration of dDCO with intent advised at D1.



The Planning Inspectorate

AP No.	Action	Action By:	Response Due By:
5.	To undertake (and submit a commentary on the results of) a sensitivity analysis on the potential effects of the Proposed Development in the absence of the other existing baseline offshore wind farms that would be decommissioned and therefore removed within the operational life of the Proposed Development.	Applicant	D1 if possible; alternatively at D2 with intent advised at D1.
6.	To submit a table listing heights of both nacelle and tip of all existing and consented wind farms in this area.	Applicant	D1
7.	To submit a copy of the Frazer-Nash Report and provide commentary on its implications.	Applicant	D1
8.	To submit further information and evidence in relation to wake loss effects on existing offshore wind farms (i.e. commentary of Frazer-Nash Report, and of any other academic or similar studies).	Ørsted IPs	D1
9.	To submit a table showing distances of Proposed Development to other Offshore Wind Farms and orientation thereto.	Applicant	D1
10.	Update ES documents (clean and tracked changes) as necessary in respect of definition of km ² and other incorrect SI referencing.	Applicant	D1
11.	Submit a copy of Dogger Bank amended Order together with commentary to assist in demonstrating compliance with the definition of a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project under sections 14 and 15 of the PA2008.	Applicant	D1



The Planning Inspectorate

AP No.	Action	Action By:	Response Due By:
12.	Submit updated documents as necessary to reflect that the Port Access and Transport Plan will be submitted to the relevant highway authority rather than if requested.	Applicant	D1

The above table does not include minor changes to the draft Development Consent Order following the discussions at Issue Specific Hearing 1 which the Applicant agreed to make for the next submission.